

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/716,479	11/20/2003	Hiroshi Kurakane	Q78541	6847
23373 7590 07/26/2007 SUGHRUE MION, PLLC 2100 PENNSYLVANIA AVENUE, N.W.			EXAMINER	
			TRUONG, THANHNGA B	
SUITE 800 WASHINGTO	N DC 20037		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	,, 20 2000.		2135	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
	•		07/26/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		\mathcal{D}
	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/716,479	KURAKANE, HIROSHI
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Thanhnga B. Truong	2135
The MAILING DATE of this communication appearing for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the d	correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.7 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailine earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	NATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tir will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from e, cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
 Responsive to communication(s) filed on 10 M This action is FINAL. Since this application is in condition for alloware closed in accordance with the practice under M 	s action is non-final. ance except for formal matters, pro	
Disposition of Claims	•	
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-8 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-8 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	wn from consideration.	•
Application Papers		
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) ☑ The drawing(s) filed on 20 November 2003 is/a Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	are: a) \boxtimes accepted or b) \square object drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Section is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
a) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Bureat * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	ts have been received. ts have been received in Applicat brity documents have been receive tu (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage
	•	
Attachment(s)		
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 08-06) Application/Control Number: 10/716,479 Page 2

Art Unit: 2135

DETAILED ACTION

1. This action is responsive to the communication filed on May 10, 2007. Claims 1-8 are pending. At this time, claims 1-8 are still rejected.

Response to Arguments

2. Applicant's arguments filed May 10, 2007 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. The new ground(s) of rejection is addressed herein in view of Kuroki (US 6,346,833 B1).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 1-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Okubo (US 7,000,140 B2), in view of Conviser (US 4,434,696), and further in view of Kuroki (US 6,346,833 B1).

a. Referring to claim 1:

- i. Okubo teaches a clock control system (see Figure 1 of Okubo) comprising:
- (1) a CPU, a peripheral functional block for said CPU (column 4, lines 58-65 of Okubo),
- (2) a frequency multiplication circuit which multiplies a frequency of an input system clock and outputs the multiplied system clock (see Figure 1, element 11 and column 4, line 60 of Okubo),
- (3) a first frequency division circuit which divides a frequency of a signal output from said frequency multiplication circuit to generate a first clock to be supplied to said CPU (see Figure 2 and more details in column 6, lines 48-55 of Okubo),

Application/Control Number: 10/716,479 Page 3

Art Unit: 2135

(4) a second frequency division circuit which divides the frequency of the signal output from said frequency multiplication circuit to generate a second clock to be supplied to said peripheral functional block (see Figure 2 and more details in column 6, lines 48-55 of Okubo), and

- (5) clock control means for changing a frequency multiplication ratio of said frequency multiplication circuit to 1/N and then changing a frequency division ratio of said second frequency division circuit to 1/N in order to set said CPU to a low-power consumption mode using no first clock, wherein N is a positive integer (column 1, lines 11-30 and lines 43-56 of Okubo).
- ii. Although Okubo teaches clock control circuit as shown in Figure 1 and the operation of PLL (phase-locked loop) circuit for multiplying a frequency provided in the clock pulse generator, Okubo is silent on the capability of showing the change frequency multiplication ratio of PLL to 1/N. On the other hand, Conviser teaches this ratio of PLL to 1/N (column 5, lines 34-47 of Conviser).
- iii. The combination of teaching between Okubo and Conviser teaches a clock control system (see Figure 1 of Okubo), they are silent on the capability of showing the ratio 1/N where N is a positive integer. On the other hand, Kuroki teaches this limitation in column 1, line 43 of Kuroki.
- iv. It would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to:
- (1) have modified the invention of Okubo with the teaching of Conviser and Kuroki to provide a ratio of the output of the PLL to the input of the modulo-N counter of M/N (column 5, lines 46-48 of Conviser).
 - v. The ordinary skilled person would have been motivated to:
- (1) have modified the invention of Okubo with the teaching of Conviser and Kuroki to provide all of the required frequencies of the equally-tempered scale base on the 12th root of 2 and exponents thereof for as many octaves as desired (column 4, line 66 through column 5, line 2 of Conviser).
 - b. Referring to claim 2:

Application/Control Number: 10/716,479 Page 4

Art Unit: 2135

i. The combination of teaching between Okubo, Conviser, and Kuroki teaches the clock control circuit. They further teaches:

(1) wherein in order to cancel the low-power consumption mode of said CPU, said clock control means changes N times the frequency division ratio of said second frequency division circuit and then changes N times the frequency multiplication ratio of said frequency multiplication circuit (column 1, lines 11-30 of Okubo; and column 5, lines 40-47 of Conviser).

c. Referring to claims 3-8:

 i. These claims have limitations that is similar to those of claims 1 and 2, thus they are rejected with the same rationale applied against claims 1 and 2 above.

Conclusion

5. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Thanhnga (Tanya) Truong whose telephone number is 571-272-3858.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kim Vu can be reached at 571-272-3859. The fax and phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/716,479

Art Unit: 2135

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 571-272-2100.

July 17, 2007

Page 5

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100